### Listening to Young Lives at Work: COVID-19 Phone Survey



March 2021

## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Ethiopia

#### **Younger Cohort**

Site	Total Sample (Tracking -2019-20)	inter	no.of views ed CALL 1		l no.of inter npleted CAI		intervie (agains	o complete w CALL 2 t tracking nple)	Total no.	of interview	vs complete	d CALL 3	intervie (agains	o complete w CALL 3 t tracking nple)
	#	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
1	83	78	94.0	78	94.0	100	5	6.0	78	94.0	100.0	100.0	5	6.0
2	90	87	96.7	87	96.7	100	3	3.3	86	95.6	98.9	98.9	4	4.4
3	84	80	95.2	78	92.9	97.5	6	7.1	76	90.5	95.0	97.4	8	9.5
4	90	82	91.1	80	88.9	97.6	10	11.1	80	88.9	97.6	100.0	10	11.1
5	89	77	86.5	75	84.3	97.4	14	15.7	73	82.0	94.8	97.3	16	18.0
6	89	84	94.4	84	94.4	100	5	5.6	84	94.4	100.0	100.0	5	5.6
7	95	88	92.6	87	91.6	98.9	8	8.4	87	91.6	98.9	100.0	8	8.4
8	95	92	96.8	91	95.8	98.9	4	4.2	91	95.8	98.9	100.0	4	4.2
9	91	87	95.6	87	95.6	100	4	4.4	87	95.6	100.0	100.0	4	4.4
10	90	81	90.0	80	88.9	98.8	10	11.1	80	88.9	98.8	100.0	10	11.1
11	91	90	98.9	90	98.9	100	1	1.1	90	98.9	100.0	100.0	1	1.1
12	88	73	83.0	73	83.0	100	15	17.0	73	83.0	100.0	100.0	15	17.0
13	88	86	97.7	86	97.7	100	2	2.3	86	97.7	100.0	100.0	2	2.3
14	91	89	97.8	88	96.7	98.9	3	3.3	88	96.7	98.9	100.0	3	3.3
15	92	90	97.8	90	97.8	100	2	2.2	89	96.7	98.9	98.9	3	3.3
16	95	91	95.8	91	95.8	100	4	4.2	91	95.8	100.0	100.0	4	4.2
17	91	80	87.9	71	78.0	88.8	20	22.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	91	100.0
18	89	87	97.8	87	97.8	100	2	2.2	45	50.6	51.7	51.7	44	49.4
19	87	71	81.6	68	78.2	95.8	19	21.8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87	100.0
20	95	94	98.9	94	98.9	100	1	1.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	95	100.0
Total	1803	1687		1665			138		1384				419	
	Response/ on rate	93.6			92.3	98.7		7.7		76.8	82.0	83.1		23.2

\* Note: For call 3 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region

Site	Total Sample (Tracking -2019-20)	inter	no.of views ed CALL 1		l no.of inter npleted CAI		interviev (against	o complete w CALL 2 tracking nple)	Total no	of interview	rs complete	d CALL 3	interviev (against	o complete w CALL 3 tracking nple)
	#	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
1	34	33	97.1	33	97.1	100	1	2.9	32	94.1	97.0	97.0	2	5.9
2	37	34	91.9	34	91.9	100	3	8.1	33	89.2	97.1	97.1	4	10.8
3	30	28	93.3	28	93.3	100	2	6.7	28	93.3	100.0	100.0	2	6.7
4	46	45	97.8	45	97.8	100	1	2.2	45	97.8	100.0	100.0	1	2.2
5	42	41	97.6	41	97.6	100	1	2.4	41	97.6	100.0	100.0	1	2.4
6	45	44	97.8	44	97.8	100	1	2.2	44	97.8	100.0	100.0	1	2.2
7	46	45	97.8	45	97.8	100	1	2.2	45	97.8	100.0	100.0	1	2.2
8	47	47	100.0	47	100.0	100	0	0.0	47	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
9	49	48	98.0	47	95.9	97.9	2	4.1	47	95.9	97.9	100.0	2	4.1
10	34	32	94.1	34	100.0	106.3	0	0.0	34	100.0	106.3	100.0	0	0.0
11	41	40	97.6	39	95.1	97.5	2	4.9	39	95.1	97.5	100.0	2	4.9
12	34	29	85.3	29	85.3	100.0	5	14.7	29	85.3	100.0	100.0	5	14.7
13	47	44	93.6	43	91.5	97.7	4	8.5	43	91.5	97.7	100.0	4	8.5
14	46	46	100.0	46	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	46	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
15	50	49	98.0	49	98.0	100.0	1	2.0	49	98.0	100.0	100.0	1	2.0
16	34	34	100.0	34	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	34	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
17	48	42	87.5	35	72.9	83.3	13	27.1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48	100.0
18	44	43	97.7	42	95.5	97.7	2	4.5	1	2.3	2.3	2.4	43	97.7
19	45	28	62.2	27	60.0	96.4	18	40.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45	100.0
20	32	32	100.0	32	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32	100.0
Total	831	784		774			57		637				194	
Total F Attritic	Response/ on rate	94.3			93.1	98.7		6.9		76.7	81.3	82.3		23.3

#### **Older Cohort**

\* Note: For call 3 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region

# Listening to Young Lives at Work in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana

#### **Younger Cohort**

Site	Total Sample (Tracking -2019-20)	inter	no.of views ed CALL 1		l no.of inter npleted CAI		intervie (agains	o complete w CALL 2 t tracking nple)	Total no	of interview	vs complete	d CALL 3	interviev (against	complete v CALL 3 tracking pple)
	#	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
1	96	95	99.0	95	99.0	100.0	1	1.0	95	99.0	100.0	100.0	1	1.0
2	97	95	97.9	95	97.9	100.0	2	2.1	95	97.9	100.0	100.0	2	2.1
3	87	82	94.3	82	94.3	100.0	5	5.7	82	94.3	100.0	100.0	5	5.7
4	96	94	97.9	95	99.0	101.1	1	1.0	95	99.0	101.1	100.0	1	1.0
5	98	95	96.9	96	98.0	101.1	2	2.0	96	98.0	101.1	100.0	2	2.0
6	96	96	100.0	96	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	96	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
7	97	95	97.9	94	96.9	98.9	3	3.1	94	96.9	98.9	100.0	3	3.1
8	97	96	99.0	96	99.0	100.0	1	1.0	96	99.0	100.0	100.0	1	1.0
9	96	96	100.0	96	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	96	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
10	81	79	97.5	79	97.5	100.0	2	2.5	79	97.5	100.0	100.0	2	2.5
11	97	96	99.0	96	99.0	100.0	1	1.0	96	99.0	100.0	100.0	1	1.0
12	102	100	98.0	101	99.0	101.0	1	1.0	101	99.0	101.0	100.0	1	1.0
13	97	97	100.0	97	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	97	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
14	95	90	94.7	91	95.8	101.1	4	4.2	91	95.8	101.1	100.0	4	4.2
15	96	96	100.0	96	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	96	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
16	97	94	96.9	96	99.0	102.1	1	1.0	96	99.0	102.1	100.0	1	1.0
17	97	96	99.0	97	100.0	101.0	0	0.0	97	100.0	101.0	100.0	0	0.0
18	96	95	99.0	95	99.0	100.0	1	1.0	95	99.0	100.0	100.0	1	1.0
19	96	96	100.0	96	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	96	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
20	88	80	90.9	79	89.8	98.8	9	10.2	79	89.8	98.8	100.0	9	10.2
Total	1902	1863		1868			34		1868				34	
Total F Attritic	Response/ on rate	97.9			98.2	100.3		1.8		98.2	100.3	100.0		1.8

\* Note: Both call 2 and call 3 covered 7 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample. These respondents were only asked the call 2 and call 3 questionnaires, hence total response rates present percents over 100 when comparing against call 1 sample.

Site	Total Sample (Tracking -2019-20)	inter	no.of views ed CALL 1		l no.of inter npleted CAI		intervie (against	o complete w CALL 2 t tracking nple)	Total no.	of interview	rs complete	d CALL 3	interviev (against	complete w CALL 3 tracking nple)
	#	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
1	45	44	97.8	44	97.8	100.0	1	2.2	44	97.8	100.0	100.0	1	2.2
2	49	49	100.0	49	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	49	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
3	37	33	89.2	33	89.2	100.0	4	10.8	33	89.2	100.0	100.0	4	10.8
4	46	46	100.0	46	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	46	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
5	48	45	93.8	45	93.8	100.0	3	6.3	44	91.7	97.8	97.8	4	8.3
6	50	50	100.0	49	98.0	98.0	1	2.0	49	98.0	98.0	100.0	1	2.0
7	42	42	100.0	42	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	41	97.6	97.6	97.6	1	2.4
8	42	42	100.0	42	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
9	35	35	100.0	35	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	35	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
10	39	37	94.9	38	97.4	102.7	1	2.6	38	97.4	102.7	100.0	1	2.6
11	53	52	98.1	52	98.1	100.0	1	1.9	52	98.1	100.0	100.0	1	1.9
12	49	48	98.0	48	98.0	100.0	1	2.0	48	98.0	100.0	100.0	1	2.0
13	48	48	100.0	48	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	48	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
14	46	41	89.1	40	87.0	97.6	6	13.0	40	87.0	97.6	100.0	6	13.0
15	48	46	95.8	48	100.0	104.3	0	0.0	48	100.0	104.3	100.0	0	0.0
16	50	50	100.0	50	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	50	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
17	47	47	100.0	47	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	47	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
18	47	47	100.0	46	97.9	97.9	1	2.1	46	97.9	97.9	100.0	1	2.1
19	49	49	100.0	49	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	49	100.0	100.0	100.0	0	0.0
20	43	36	83.7	35	81.4	97.2	8	18.6	34	79.1	94.4	97.1	9	20.9
Total	913	887		886			27		883				30	
Total F Attritic	Response/ on rate	97.2			97.0	99.9		3.0		96.7	99.5	99.7		3.3

#### **Older Cohort**

\* Note: Both call 2 and call 3 covered 3 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in call 1 sample. These respondents were only asked the call 2 and call 3 questionnaires, hence total response rates present percents over 100 when comparing against call 1 sample.

## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Peru

#### Younger Cohort

				1		CAI	.L 1				CALL 2					CA	LL 3		
Sites	Total Sample 1 (Tracking 2019-20)	Total Sample 2 (Jul 21, 2020)	Total Sample 3 (Oct 18, 2020)	Total Sample 4 (Dec 20, 2020)	inter complete	no.of views ed CALL 1 ), 2020)	interviev (again	complete v CALL 1 ist total ble 4)	CO	l no.of interv mpleted CAL Dec 20, 202	L 2	interviev (again	complete w CALL 2 st total ple 4)			f interviews ed CALL 3 ), 2020)		complete CA (agair	ble to e interview LL 3 nst total
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	sam #	ple 4) % tracking sample
1	88	88	91	92	91	98.9	1	1.1	90	97.8	98.9	2	2.2	88	95.7	96.7	97.8	4	4.3
2	95	95	95	95	92	96.8	3	3.2	89	93.7	96.7	6	6.3	89	93.7	96.7	100.0	6	6.3
3	83	83	83	83	77	92.8	6	7.2	76	91.6	98.7	7	8.4	74	89.2	96.1	97.4	9	10.8
4	87	87	87	87	81	93.1	6	6.9	80	92.0	98.8	7	8.0	80	92.0	98.8	100.0	7	8.0
5	74	74	75	75	73	97.3	2	2.7	70	93.3	95.9	5	6.7	70	93.3	95.9	100.0	5	6.7
6	83	83	83	83	78	94.0	5	6.0	77	92.8	98.7	6	7.2	77	92.8	98.7	100.0	6	7.2
7	94	94	94	94	92	97.9	2	2.1	92	97.9	100.0	2	2.1	92	97.9	100.0	100.0	2	2.1
8	79	79	79	79	72	91.1	7	8.9	72	91.1	100.0	7	8.9	69	87.3	95.8	95.8	10	12.7
9	89	89	89	89	84	94.4	5	5.6	83	93.3	98.8	6	6.7	81	91.0	96.4	97.6	8	9.0
10	93	93	93	93	89	95.7	4	4.3	89	95.7	100.0	4	4.3	89	95.7	100.0	100.0	4	4.3
11	54	54	54	54	47	87.0	7	13.0	45	83.3	95.7	9	16.7	44	81.5	93.6	97.8	10	18.5
12	87	87	87	87	82	94.3	5	5.7	82	94.3	100.0	5	5.7	76	87.4	92.7	92.7	11	12.6
13	83	83	83	83	74	89.2	9	10.8	72	86.7	97.3	11	13.3	68	81.9	91.9	94.4	15	18.1
14	85	85	85	86	83	96.5	3	3.5	83	96.5	100.0	3	3.5	83	96.5	100.0	100.0	3	3.5
15	89	89	89	89	80	89.9	9	10.1	78	87.6	97.5	11	12.4	70	78.7	87.5	89.7	19	21.3
16	102	102	102	102	97	95.1	5	4.9	95	93.1	97.9	7	6.9	92	90.2	94.8	96.8	10	9.8
17	81	81	81	81	73	90.1	8	9.9	70	86.4	95.9	11	13.6	67	82.7	91.8	95.7	14	17.3
18	93	93	95	95	90	94.7	5	5.3	87	91.6	96.7	8	8.4	84	88.4	93.3	96.6	11	11.6
19	87	87	87	87	81	93.1	6	6.9	81	93.1	100.0	6	6.9	75	86.2	92.6	92.6	12	13.8
20	75	75	75	75	70	93.3	5	6.7	70	93.3	100.0	5	6.7	68	90.7	97.1	97.1	7	9.3
Total	1701	1701	1707	1709	1606		103		1581			128		1536				173	
Total R	Response/Attrition rate					94.0		6.0		92.5	98.4		7.5		89.9	95.6	97.2		10.1

Note: The initial sample for Peru changed slightly until the third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the younger cohort, the final sample increased by 8.

						CA	LL 1				CALL 2					CA	LL 3		
Sites	Total Sample 1 (Tracking 2019-20)	Total Sample 2 (Jul 21, 2020)	Total Sample 3 (Oct 18, 2020)	Total Sample 4 (Dec 20, 2020)	inter complet	l no.of views ed CALL 1 0, 2020)	interviev (again	complete w CALL 1 ist total ble 4)	CO	l no.of inter mpleted CAI Dec 20, 202	L 2	interviev (again	complete w CALL 2 ist total ple 4)		complete	f interviews ed CALL 3 D, 2020)		complete CA (again	ble to e interview LL 3 nst total ple 4)
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
1	38	38	38	38	35	92.1	3	7.9	35	92.1	100.0	3	7.9	35	92.1	100.0	100.0	3	7.9
2	21	21	21	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	20	95.2	100.0	1	4.8	20	95.2	100.0	100.0	1	4.8
3	14	14	15	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	10	66.7	90.9	5	33.3	10	66.7	90.9	100.0	5	33.3
4	19	20	20	20	20	100.0	0	0.0	19	95.0	95.0	1	5.0	18	90.0	90.0	94.7	2	10.0
5	17	18	18	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	17	94.4	94.4	1	5.6	16	88.9	88.9	94.1	2	11.1
6	17	17	17	17	17	100.0	0	0.0	17	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	16	94.1	94.1	94.1	1	5.9
7	43	44	44	44	39	88.6	5	11.4	38	86.4	97.4	6	13.6	37	84.1	94.9	97.4	7	15.9
8	23	24	24	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	20	83.3	100.0	4	16.7	20	83.3	100.0	100.0	4	16.7
9	14	14	14	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	14	100.0	100.0	0	0.0	13	92.9	92.9	92.9	1	7.1
10	20	20	21	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	20	95.2	100.0	1	4.8	20	95.2	100.0	100.0	1	4.8
11	17	17	17	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	16	94.1	100.0	1	5.9	16	94.1	100.0	100.0	1	5.9
12	38	38	38	38	37	97.4	1	2.6	36	94.7	97.3	2	5.3	35	92.1	94.6	97.2	3	7.9
13	43	43	43	43	38	88.4	5	11.6	38	88.4	100.0	5	11.6	38	88.4	100.0	100.0	5	11.6
14	35	35	38	38	33	86.8	5	13.2	33	86.8	100.0	5	13.2	33	86.8	100.0	100.0	5	13.2
15	40	40	40	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	31	77.5	100.0	9	22.5	26	65.0	83.9	83.9	14	35.0
16	16	16	16	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	15	93.8	100.0	1	6.3	15	93.8	100.0	100.0	1	6.3
17	19	19	20	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	17	85.0	100.0	3	15.0	16	80.0	94.1	94.1	4	20.0
18	20	20	20	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	19	90.5	95.0	2	9.5	18	85.7	90.0	94.7	3	14.3
19	23	23	23	23	22	95.7	1	4.3	22	95.7	100.0	1	4.3	22	95.7	100.0	100.0	1	4.3
20	37	37	37	37	34	91.9	3	8.1	33	89.2	97.1	4	10.8	33	89.2	97.1	100.0	4	10.8
Total	514	518	524	525	477		48		470			55		457				68	
Total R	tesponse/Attrition rate					90.9		9.1		89.5	98.5		10.5		87.0	95.8	97.2		13.0

#### **Older Cohort**

Note: The initial sample for Peru changed slightly until the third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the older cohort, the final sample increased by 11.

## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Vietnam

#### **Younger Cohort**

			CA	LL 1				CALL 2					CA	LL 3		
Site	Total Sample (Tracking -2019-20)	inter complete	no.of views ed CALL 1 27, 2020)	inter complete (by Oct	no.of views ed CALL 1 ober 15, 20)		no.of interv completed CALL 2	views	con inte CALL 2	able to nplete erview 2 (against g sample)	Total	no.of interv CAL		npleted	con intervi 3 (a	able to nplete ew CALL gainst g sample)
	#	#	%	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
1	374	321	85.8	325	85.8	321	85.8	98.8	53	14.2	320	85.6	98.5	99.7	54	14.4
2	366	323	88.3	323	88.3	320	87.4	99.1	46	12.6	320	87.4	99.1	100.0	46	12.6
3	368	311	84.5	313	84.5	305	82.9	97.4	63	17.1	295	80.2	94.2	96.7	73	19.8
4	380	349	91.8	349	91.8	347	91.3	99.4	33	8.7	346	91.1	99.1	99.7	34	8.9
5	367	338	92.1	339	92.1	335	91.3	98.8	32	8.7	333	90.7	98.2	99.4	34	9.3
6	67	67	100.0	67	100.0	63	94.0	94.0	4	6.0	63	94.0	94.0	100.0	4	6.0
Total	1922	1709		1716		1691			231		1677				245	
	Response/ on rate		88.9		89.3		88.0	98.5		12.0		87.3	97.7	99.2		12.7

\* Note: Counts for call 1 have been updated after call 2 fieldwork. Missing respondents in call 1 were contacted for call 2 and those cases that agreed to participate were asked to answer a shortened version of Call 1 and a few weeks later they were called to participate in Call 2.

#### **Older Cohort**

			CA	LL 1				CALL 2					CAL	L 3		
Site	Total Sample (Tracking -2019-20)	inte comple 1 (by	ll no.of rviews ted CALL July 27, 020)	inter comple 1 (by Oc	l no.of views ted CALL ctober 15, 020)	Tota	I no.of inte complete CALL 2	d	cor inte CALL tra	able to nplete erview 2 (against cking mple)	Total no	o.of intervie	ews comple 3	ted CALL	cor intervi 3 (a tra	ible to nplete ew CALL gainst cking mple)
	#	#	%	#	%	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
1	149	128	85.9	129	85.9	124	83.2	96.1	25	16.8	124	83.2	96.1	100.0	25	16.8
2	139	130	93.5	130	93.5	130	93.5	100.0	9	6.5	130	93.5	100.0	100.0	9	6.5
3	173	151	87.3	151	87.3	146	84.4	96.7	27	15.6	139	80.3	92.1	95.2	34	19.7
4	117	110	94.0	111	94.0	109	93.2	98.2	8	6.8	109	93.2	98.2	100.0	8	6.8
5	126	115	91.3	117	91.3	115	91.3	98.3	11	8.7	115	91.3	98.3	100.0	11	8.7
6	206	206	100.0	206	100.0	204	99.0	99.0	2	1.0	202	98.1	98.1	99.0	4	1.9
Total	910	840		844		828			82		819				91	
	Response/ on rate		92.3		92.7		91.0	98.1		9.0		90.0	97.0	98.9		10.0

\* Note: Counts for call 1 have been updated after call 2 fieldwork. Missing respondents in call 1 were contacted for call 2 and those cases that agreed to participate were asked to answer a shortened version of Call 1 and a few weeks later they were called to participate in Call 2.

### Total

	Total Sample (Tracking - 2019-20)	Total no.of interviews completed CALL 1	Tota	I no.of inte	erviews cor	npleted CA	LL 2	com inte	ble to plete rview LL 2		Total no.	of interview	vs complete	ed CALL 3		com	ole to plete view LL 3
	#	#	#	% Round 1 sample	% Round 5 sample	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% Round 1 sample	% Round 5 sample	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
Ethiopia <sup>1</sup>	2,634	2,471	2,439	81.3%	92.9%	92.6%	98.7%	195	7.4	2,021	67.4%	77.0%	76.7%	81.8%	82.9%	613	23.3%
India <sup>2</sup>	2,815	2,750	2,754	91.2%	98.2%	97.8%	100.1%	61	2.2	2,751	91.1%	98.1%	97.7%	100.0%	99.9%	64	2.3%
Peru <sup>3</sup>	2,234	2,083	2,051	74.2%	83.1%	91.8%	98.5%	183	8.2	1,993	72.1%	80.8%	89.2%	95.7%	97.2%	241	10.8%
Vietnam <sup>4</sup>	2,832	2,560	2,519	84.0%	88.5%	88.9%	98.4%	313	11.1	2,496	83.2%	87.7%	88.1%	97.5%	99.1%	336	11.9%
Total	10,515	9,864	9,763	82.7%	90.7%	92.8%	99.0%	752	7.2	9,261	78.6%	86.2%	88.1%	93.9%	94.9%	1,254	11.9%

<sup>1</sup> For call 3 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region

<sup>2</sup> Both call 2 and call 3 covered 10 additional respondents in total who were not included in the call 1 sample

<sup>3</sup> The initial sample for Peru changed slightly until the third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In total, the final sample increased by 19

Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

#### **Younger Cohort**

	Total Sample (Tracking - 2019-20)	Total no.of interviews completed CALL 1	Tota	I no.of inte	erviews cor	npleted CA	LL 2	com inte	ble to plete rview LL 2		Total no.	of interview	vs complet	ed CALL 3		com inte	ble to plete rview LL 3
	#	#	#	% Round 1 sample	% Round 5 sample	% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% Round 1 sample	% Round 5 sample	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
Ethiopia <sup>1</sup>	1,803	1,687	1,665	83.3%	91.9%	92.3%	98.7%	138	7.7	1,384	69.2%	76.4%	76.8%	82.0%	83.1%	419	23.2%
India <sup>2</sup>	1,902	1,863	1,868	92.9%	98.8%	98.2%	100.3%	34	1.8	1,868	92.9%	98.8%	98.2%	100.3%	100.0%	34	1.8%
Peru <sup>3</sup>	1,709	1,606	1,581	77.0%	85.0%	92.5%	98.4%	128	7.5	1,536	74.9%	82.6%	89.9%	95.6%	97.2%	173	10.1%
Vietnam <sup>4</sup>	1,922	1,716	1,691	84.6%	87.3%	88.0%	98.5%	231	12.0	1,677	83.9%	86.6%	87.3%	97.7%	99.2%	245	12.7%
Total	7,336	6,872	6,805	84.4%	90.7%	92.8%	99.0%	531	7.2	6,465	80.2%	86.2%	88.1%	94.1%	95.0%	871	11.9%

<sup>1</sup> For call 3 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region

<sup>2</sup> Both call 2 and call 3 covered 7 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample

<sup>3</sup> The initial sample for Peru changed slightly until the third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the younger cohort, the final sample increased by 8

<sup>4</sup> Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

#### **Older Cohort**

	Total Sample (Tracking - 2019-20)	Total no.of interviews completed CALL 1	Tota	l no.of inte	erviews cor	npleted CA	LL 2	com inte	ble to plete rview LL 2		Total no.o	of interviev	vs complete	ed CALL 3		com	ole to plete view LL 3
	#	#	#	% Round 1 sample		% tracking sample	% call 1	#	% tracking sample	#	% Round 1 sample	% Round 5 sample	% tracking sample	% call 1	% call 2	#	% tracking sample
Ethiopia <sup>1</sup>	831	784	774	77.4%	95.1%	93.1%	98.7%	57	6.9	637	63.7%	78.3%	76.7%	81.3%	82.3%	194	23.3%
India <sup>2</sup>	913	887	886	87.9%	96.9%	97.0%	99.9%	27	3.0	883	87.6%	96.6%	96.7%	99.5%	99.7%	30	3.3%
Peru <sup>3</sup>	525	477	470	65.8%	77.3%	89.5%	98.5%	55	10.5	457	64.0%	75.2%	87.0%	95.8%	97.2%	68	13.0%
Vietnam <sup>4</sup>	910	844	828	82.8%	91.1%	91.0%	98.1%	82	9.0	819	81.9%	90.1%	90.0%	97.0%	98.9%	91	10.0%
Total	3,179	2,992	2,958	79.5%	91.2%	93.0%	98.9%	221	7.0	2,796	75.1%	86.2%	88.0%	93.4%	94.5%	383	12.0%

<sup>1</sup> For call 3 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region

<sup>2</sup> Both call 2 and call 3 covered 3 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in call 1 sample

<sup>3</sup> The initial sample for Peru changed slightly until the third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the older cohort, the final sample increased by 11

<sup>4</sup> Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

