## Attrition report: fffth call

## Listening to Young Lives at Work: COVID-19 Phone Survey

## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Ethiopia

Younger Cohort

| Site | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 | 2019-20 <br> Tracking <br> Sample: <br> Round 6 | Round 6: <br> Call 1 <br> Sample | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | \% R1 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | \% call 1 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { (against } \\ \text { R1 } \\ \text { interviews) } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> R1 <br> interviews | \# (against tracking sample) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | \# <br> (against CALL 1 sample) |  |
| 1 | 100 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 78.0 | 94.0 | 100.0 | 22 | 22.0 | 5 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 100 | 90 | 87 | 81 | 81.0 | 90.0 | 93.1 | 19 | 19.0 | 9 | 10.0 | 6 | 6.9 |
| 3 | 100 | 84 | 80 | 72 | 72.0 | 85.7 | 90.0 | 28 | 28.0 | 12 | 14.3 | 8 | 10.0 |
| 4 | 100 | 90 | 82 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 90 | 100.0 | 82 | 100.0 |
| 5 | 100 | 89 | 77 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 89 | 100.0 | 77 | 100.0 |
| 6 | 100 | 89 | 84 | 83 | 83.0 | 93.3 | 98.8 | 17 | 17.0 | 6 | 6.7 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 7 | 100 | 95 | 88 | 86 | 86.0 | 90.5 | 97.7 | 14 | 14.0 | 9 | 9.5 | 2 | 2.3 |
| 8 | 100 | 95 | 92 | 91 | 91.0 | 95.8 | 98.9 | 9 | 9.0 | 4 | 4.2 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 9 | 100 | 91 | 87 | 87 | 87.0 | 95.6 | 100.0 | 13 | 13.0 | 4 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 100 | 90 | 81 | 80 | 80.0 | 88.9 | 98.8 | 20 | 20.0 | 10 | 11.1 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 11 | 99 | 91 | 90 | 87 | 87.9 | 95.6 | 96.7 | 12 | 12.1 | 4 | 4.4 | 3 | 3.3 |
| 12 | 100 | 88 | 73 | 71 | 71.0 | 80.7 | 97.3 | 29 | 29.0 | 17 | 19.3 | 2 | 2.7 |
| 13 | 100 | 88 | 86 | 86 | 86.0 | 97.7 | 100.0 | 14 | 14.0 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 14 | 100 | 91 | 89 | 87 | 87.0 | 95.6 | 97.8 | 13 | 13.0 | 4 | 4.4 | 2 | 2.2 |
| 15 | 100 | 92 | 90 | 89 | 89.0 | 96.7 | 98.9 | 11 | 11.0 | 3 | 3.3 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 16 | 100 | 95 | 91 | 91 | 91.0 | 95.8 | 100.0 | 9 | 9.0 | 4 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 100 | 91 | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 91 | 100.0 | 80 | 100.0 |
| 18 | 100 | 89 | 87 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 89 | 100.0 | 87 | 100.0 |
| 19 | 100 | 87 | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 87 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 |
| 20 | 100 | 95 | 94 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 95 | 100.0 | 94 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1999 | 1803 | 1687 | 1169 |  |  |  | 830 |  | 634 |  | 518 |  |
| Total <br> Response/ <br> Attrition rate |  |  |  |  | 58.5 | 64.8 | 69.3 |  | 41.5 |  | 35.2 |  | 30.7 |

[^0]Older Cohort

| Site | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 | 2019-20 <br> Tracking <br> Sample: <br> Round 6 | Round 6: Call 1 <br> Sample | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { R1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { call } 1 \end{gathered}$ | ```# (against R1 interviews)``` | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { R1 } \\ \text { interviews } \end{gathered}$ | \# (against tracking sample) | \% tracking sample | \# (against CALL 1 sample) | \% <br> CALL 1 <br> sample |
| 1 | 50 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 62.0 | 91.2 | 93.9 | 19 | 38.0 | 3 | 8.8 | 2 | 6.1 |
| 2 | 50 | 37 | 34 | 33 | 66.0 | 89.2 | 97.1 | 17 | 34.0 | 4 | 10.8 | 1 | 2.9 |
| 3 | 50 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 54.0 | 90.0 | 96.4 | 23 | 46.0 | 3 | 10.0 | 1 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 50 | 46 | 46 | 20 | 40.0 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 30 | 60.0 | 26 | 56.5 | 26 | 56.5 |
| 5 | 50 | 42 | 42 | 12 | 24.0 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 38 | 76.0 | 30 | 71.4 | 30 | 71.4 |
| 6 | 50 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 88.0 | 97.8 | 100.0 | 6 | 12.0 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 50 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 90.0 | 97.8 | 100.0 | 5 | 10.0 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 8 | 50 | 47 | 47 | 46 | 92.0 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 4 | 8.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 |
| 9 | 50 | 49 | 48 | 46 | 92.0 | 93.9 | 95.8 | 4 | 8.0 | 3 | 6.1 | 2 | 4.2 |
| 10 | 50 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 64.0 | 94.1 | 94.1 | 18 | 36.0 | 2 | 5.9 | 2 | 5.9 |
| 11 | 49 | 41 | 40 | 34 | 69.4 | 82.9 | 85.0 | 15 | 30.6 | 7 | 17.1 | 6 | 15.0 |
| 12 | 50 | 34 | 29 | 27 | 54.0 | 79.4 | 93.1 | 23 | 46.0 | 7 | 20.6 | 2 | 6.9 |
| 13 | 50 | 47 | 43 | 43 | 86.0 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 7 | 14.0 | 4 | 8.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 14 | 50 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 92.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 15 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 50 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 68.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16 | 32.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 17 | 50 | 48 | 35 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 35 | 100.0 |
| 18 | 50 | 44 | 43 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 44 | 100.0 | 43 | 100.0 |
| 19 | 51 | 45 | 28 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 28 | 100.0 |
| 20 | 50 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1000 | 831 | 780 | 569 |  |  |  | 431 |  | 262 |  | 211 |  |
| Total <br> Response/ Attrition rate |  |  |  |  | 56.9 | 68.5 | 72.9 |  | 43.1 |  | 31.5 |  | 27.1 |

* Note: For calls 3, 4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.


## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana

Younger Cohort

| Site | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 | 2019-20 <br> Tracking <br> Sample: <br> Round 6 | Round 6: <br> Call 1 <br> Sample | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { R1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | \% call 1 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { (against } \\ \text { R1 } \\ \text { interviews) } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> R1 <br> interviews | \# <br> (against tracking sample) | \% <br> tracking sample | \# <br> (against <br> CALL 1 <br> sample) | \% <br> CALL 1 <br> sample |
| 1 | 100 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 5 | 5.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 100 | 97 | 95 | 95 | 95.0 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 5 | 5.0 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 100 | 87 | 82 | 83 | 83.0 | 95.4 | 101.2 | 17 | 17.0 | 4 | 4.6 | -1 | -1.2 |
| 4 | 100 | 96 | 94 | 95 | 95.0 | 99.0 | 101.1 | 5 | 5.0 | 1 | 1.0 | -1 | -1.1 |
| 5 | 100 | 98 | 95 | 95 | 95.0 | 96.9 | 100.0 | 5 | 5.0 | 3 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 100 | 97 | 95 | 94 | 94.0 | 96.9 | 98.9 | 6 | 6.0 | 3 | 3.1 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 8 | 100 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 96.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95.0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 5 | 5.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| 10 | 100 | 81 | 79 | 78 | 78.0 | 96.3 | 98.7 | 22 | 22.0 | 3 | 3.7 | 1 | 1.3 |
| 11 | 103 | 97 | 96 | 95 | 92.2 | 97.9 | 99.0 | 8 | 7.8 | 2 | 2.1 | 1 | 1.0 |
| 12 | 102 | 102 | 100 | 100 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 101 | 97 | 97 | 95 | 94.1 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 6 | 5.9 | 2 | 2.1 | 2 | 2.1 |
| 14 | 100 | 95 | 90 | 91 | 91.0 | 95.8 | 101.1 | 9 | 9.0 | 4 | 4.2 | -1 | -1.1 |
| 15 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95.0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 5 | 5.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| 16 | 100 | 97 | 94 | 92 | 92.0 | 94.8 | 97.9 | 8 | 8.0 | 5 | 5.2 | 2 | 2.1 |
| 17 | 100 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 101.0 | 3 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -1 | -1.0 |
| 18 | 100 | 96 | 95 | 94 | 94.0 | 97.9 | 98.9 | 6 | 6.0 | 2 | 2.1 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 19 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 105 | 88 | 80 | 78 | 74.3 | 88.6 | 97.5 | 27 | 25.7 | 10 | 11.4 | 2 | 2.5 |
| Total | 2011 | 1902 | 1863 | 1855 |  |  |  | 156 |  | 47 |  | 8 |  |
| Total <br> Response/ <br> Attrition <br> rate |  |  |  |  | 92.2 | 97.5 | 99.6 |  | 7.8 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.4 |

[^1]Older Cohort

| Site | 2002 <br> Original | 2019-20 <br> Tracking | Round 6: Call 1 | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { R1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { call } 1 \end{gathered}$ | \# (against R1 interviews) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { R1 } \\ \text { interviews } \end{gathered}$ | \# (against tracking sample) | \% tracking sample | \# (against CALL 1 sample) | \% CALL 1 sample |
| 1 | 50 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 86.0 | 95.6 | 97.7 | 7 | 14.0 | 2 | 4.4 | 1 | 2.3 |
| 2 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 50 | 37 | 33 | 33 | 66.0 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 17 | 34.0 | 4 | 10.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 50 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 90.0 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 5 | 10.0 | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.2 |
| 5 | 50 | 48 | 45 | 42 | 84.0 | 87.5 | 93.3 | 8 | 16.0 | 6 | 12.5 | 3 | 6.7 |
| 6 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 46 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 4 | 8.0 | 4 | 8.0 | 4 | 8.0 |
| 7 | 50 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 80.0 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 10 | 20.0 | 2 | 4.8 | 2 | 4.8 |
| 8 | 50 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 84.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8 | 16.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | 50 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 15 | 30.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 50 | 39 | 37 | 38 | 76.0 | 97.4 | 102.7 | 12 | 24.0 | 1 | 2.6 | -1 | -2.7 |
| 11 | 56 | 53 | 52 | 50 | 89.3 | 94.3 | 96.2 | 6 | 10.7 | 3 | 5.7 | 2 | 3.8 |
| 12 | 51 | 49 | 48 | 48 | 94.1 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 3 | 5.9 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | 50 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 94.0 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 3 | 6.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 |
| 14 | 50 | 46 | 41 | 40 | 80.0 | 87.0 | 97.6 | 10 | 20.0 | 6 | 13.0 | 1 | 2.4 |
| 15 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 46 | 92.0 | 95.8 | 100.0 | 4 | 8.0 | 2 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 16 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 47 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 3 | 6.0 | 3 | 6.0 | 3 | 6.0 |
| 17 | 50 | 47 | 47 | 46 | 92.0 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 4 | 8.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 |
| 18 | 50 | 47 | 47 | 45 | 90.0 | 95.7 | 95.7 | 5 | 10.0 | 2 | 4.3 | 2 | 4.3 |
| 19 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 98.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 51 | 43 | 36 | 33 | 64.7 | 76.7 | 91.7 | 18 | 35.3 | 10 | 23.3 | 3 | 8.3 |
| Total | 1008 | 913 | 887 | 864 |  |  |  | 144 |  | 49 |  | 23 |  |
| Total <br> Response/ Attrition rate |  |  |  |  | 85.7 | 94.6 | 97.4 |  | 14.3 |  | 5.4 |  | 2.6 |

* Note: Calls 2, 3, 4 and 5 covered 3 additional Older Cohort respondents who were not included in call 1 sample. These respondents were only asked the call $2,3,4$ and 5 questionnaires, hence total response rates present percentages over 100 when comparing against call 1 sample.


## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Peru

## Younger Cohort

| Site | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 <br> \# | 2019-20 <br> Tracking Sample: <br> Round 6 <br> \# | Total Sample 2 (Jul 21, 2020) \# | Total Sample 3 (Oct 18, 2020) \# | Total Sample 4 (Dec 20, 2020) \# | Total Sample 5 (Jul 20, 2021) \# | Round 6: Call 1 Sample \# | Total <br> \# | .of int C \% <br> R1 | views con LL 5 <br> \% <br> Total sample 5 | pleted <br> \% c <br> all 1 | \# <br> (against R1 interviews) | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  | \% (against CALL 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 | 88 | 88 | 91 | 92 | 99 | 91 | 91 | 91.0 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 9 | 9.0 | -3 | -3.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 97 | 92 | 87 | 87.0 | 89.7 | 94.6 | 13 | 13.0 | 8 | 8.4 | 5 | 5.4 |
| 3 | 101 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 99 | 78 | 79 | 78.2 | 79.8 | 101.3 | 22 | 21.8 | 4 | 4.8 | -1 | -1.3 |
| 4 | 101 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 99 | 82 | 91 | 90.1 | 91.9 | 111.0 | 10 | 9.9 | -4 | -4.6 | -9 | -11.0 |
| 5 | 101 | 74 | 74 | 75 | 75 | 94 | 73 | 73 | 72.3 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 28 | 27.7 | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 6 | 102 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 98 | 78 | 89 | 87.3 | 90.8 | 114.1 | 13 | 12.7 | -6 | -7.2 | -11 | -14.1 |
| 7 | 107 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 102 | 92 | 94 | 87.9 | 92.2 | 102.2 | 13 | 12.1 | 0 | 0.0 | -2 | -2.2 |
| 8 | 102 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 90 | 72 | 78 | 76.5 | 86.7 | 108.3 | 24 | 23.5 | 1 | 1.3 | -6 | -8.3 |
| 9 | 103 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 95 | 84 | 78 | 75.7 | 82.1 | 92.9 | 25 | 24.3 | 11 | 12.4 | 6 | 7.1 |
| 10 | 105 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 103 | 90 | 92 | 87.6 | 89.3 | 102.2 | 13 | 12.4 | 1 | 1.1 | -2 | -2.2 |
| 11 | 101 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 85 | 47 | 60 | 59.4 | 70.6 | 127.7 | 41 | 40.6 | -6 | -11.1 | -13 | -27.7 |
| 12 | 100 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 97 | 84 | 88 | 88.0 | 90.7 | 104.8 | 12 | 12.0 | -1 | -1.1 | -4 | -4.8 |
| 13 | 102 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 89 | 74 | 85 | 83.3 | 95.5 | 114.9 | 17 | 16.7 | -2 | -2.4 | -11 | -14.9 |
| 14 | 103 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 86 | 95 | 83 | 91 | 88.3 | 95.8 | 109.6 | 12 | 11.7 | -6 | -7.1 | -8 | -9.6 |
| 15 | 105 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 96 | 82 | 80 | 76.2 | 83.3 | 97.6 | 25 | 23.8 | 9 | 10.1 | 2 | 2.4 |
| 16 | 108 | 102 | 102 | 102 | 102 | 106 | 98 | 100 | 92.6 | 94.3 | 102.0 | 8 | 7.4 | 2 | 2.0 | -2 | -2.0 |
| 17 | 102 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 98 | 74 | 84 | 82.4 | 85.7 | 113.5 | 18 | 17.6 | -3 | -3.7 | -10 | -13.5 |
| 18 | 105 | 93 | 93 | 95 | 95 | 101 | 90 | 90 | 85.7 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 15 | 14.3 | 3 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 102 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 96 | 82 | 86 | 84.3 | 89.6 | 104.9 | 16 | 15.7 | 1 | 1.1 | -4 | -4.9 |
| 20 | 102 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 89 | 70 | 82 | 80.4 | 92.1 | 117.1 | 20 | 19.6 | -7 | -9.3 | -12 | -17.1 |
| Total | 2052 | 1701 | 1701 | 1707 | 1709 | 1928 | 1616 | 1698 |  |  |  | 354 |  | 3 |  | -82 |  |
| Total <br> Response/ Attrition rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.7 | 88.1 | 105.1 |  | 17.3 |  | 0.2 |  | -5.1 |

Note: The initial sample for Peru changed slightly between the first and third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the younger cohort, the final sample increased by 8 between the first and third call. For calls 4 and 5 , the sample changed to include those who had decided not to participate in calls $1-3$, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In the case of the younger cohort, the sample increased by 219 between the third and fourth call.

Older Cohort

| Site | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 <br> \# | 2019-20 <br> Tracking Sample: <br> Round 6 <br> \# | Total Sample 2 (Jul 21, 2020) \# | Total Sample 3 (Oct 18, 2020) \# | Total Sample 4 (Dec 20, 2020) \# | Total Sample 5 (Jul 20, 2021) <br> \# | Round 6: Call 1 Sample \# | Total <br> \# | .of int <br> C <br> \% R1 | views com LL 5 <br> \% <br> Total sample 5 | pleted <br> \% c all 1 | \# <br> (against R1 interviews) | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  | \% (against CALL 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 49 | 35 | 46 | 92.0 | 93.9 | 131.4 | 4 | 8.0 | -8 | -0.2 | -11 | -31.4 |
| 2 | 25 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 21 | 84.0 | 91.3 | 105.0 | 4 | 16.0 | 0 | 0.0 | -1 | -5.0 |
| 3 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 11 | 44.0 | 52.4 | 84.6 | 14 | 56.0 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 15.4 |
| 4 | 26 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 76.9 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 6 | 23.1 | -1 | -0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 26 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 23 | 18 | 21 | 80.8 | 91.3 | 116.7 | 5 | 19.2 | -4 | -0.2 | -3 | -16.7 |
| 6 | 25 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 24 | 17 | 19 | 76.0 | 79.2 | 111.8 | 6 | 24.0 | -2 | -0.1 | -2 | -11.8 |
| 7 | 52 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 50 | 42 | 43 | 82.7 | 86.0 | 102.4 | 9 | 17.3 | 0 | 0.0 | -1 | -2.4 |
| 8 | 32 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 29 | 20 | 24 | 75.0 | 82.8 | 120.0 | 8 | 25.0 | -1 | 0.0 | -4 | -20.0 |
| 9 | 29 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 27 | 14 | 19 | 65.5 | 70.4 | 135.7 | 10 | 34.5 | -5 | -0.4 | -5 | -35.7 |
| 10 | 29 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 75.9 | 91.7 | 110.0 | 7 | 24.1 | -2 | -0.1 | -2 | -10.0 |
| 11 | 30 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 27 | 16 | 19 | 63.3 | 70.4 | 118.8 | 11 | 36.7 | -2 | -0.1 | -3 | -18.8 |
| 12 | 50 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 47 | 37 | 41 | 82.0 | 87.2 | 110.8 | 9 | 18.0 | -3 | -0.1 | -4 | -10.8 |
| 13 | 52 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 46 | 40 | 38 | 73.1 | 82.6 | 95.0 | 14 | 26.9 | 5 | 0.1 | 2 | 5.0 |
| 14 | 51 | 35 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 48 | 35 | 44 | 86.3 | 91.7 | 125.7 | 7 | 13.7 | -9 | -0.3 | -9 | -25.7 |
| 15 | 53 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 51 | 32 | 35 | 66.0 | 68.6 | 109.4 | 18 | 34.0 | 5 | 0.1 | -3 | -9.4 |
| 16 | 25 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 22 | 15 | 17 | 68.0 | 77.3 | 113.3 | 8 | 32.0 | -1 | -0.1 | -2 | -13.3 |
| 17 | 30 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 28 | 17 | 21 | 70.0 | 75.0 | 123.5 | 9 | 30.0 | -2 | -0.1 | -4 | -23.5 |
| 18 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 27 | 20 | 23 | 85.2 | 85.2 | 115.0 | 4 | 14.8 | -3 | -0.2 | -3 | -15.0 |
| 19 | 27 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 27 | 22 | 23 | 85.2 | 85.2 | 104.5 | 4 | 14.8 | 0 | 0.0 | -1 | -4.5 |
| 20 | 50 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 43 | 34 | 39 | 78.0 | 90.7 | 114.7 | 11 | 22.0 | -2 | -0.1 | -5 | -14.7 |
| Total | 714 | 514 | 518 | 524 | 525 | 661 | 487 | 546 |  |  |  | 168 |  | -32 |  | -59 |  |
| Total <br> Response/ Attrition rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76.5 | 82.6 | 112.1 |  | 23.5 |  | -6.2 |  | -12.1 |

Note: The initial sample for Peru changed slightly between the first and third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the older cohort, the sample increased by 11 between the first and third call. For calls 4 and 5 , the sample changed to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In the case of the older cohort, the sample increased by 136 between the third and fourth call.

## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Vietnam

Younger Cohort

| Site | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 | 2019-20 <br> Tracking <br> Sample: <br> Round 6 | Round 6: Call 1 Sample | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { R1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { 2019-20 } \\ & \text { tracking } \\ & \text { sample } \end{aligned}$ | \% call 1 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { (against } \\ \text { R1 } \\ \text { interviews) } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> R1 <br> interviews | \# (against tracking sample) | \% tracking sample | \# <br> (against <br> CALL 1 <br> sample) |  |
| 1 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 91 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 97.8 | 9 | 9.0 | 9 | 9.0 | 2 | 2.2 |
| 2 | 100 | 97 | 88 | 85 | 85.0 | 87.6 | 96.6 | 15 | 15.0 | 12 | 12.4 | 3 | 3.4 |
| 3 | 100 | 98 | 92 | 88 | 88.0 | 89.8 | 95.7 | 12 | 12.0 | 10 | 10.2 | 4 | 4.3 |
| 4 | 100 | 95 | 85 | 84 | 84.0 | 88.4 | 98.8 | 16 | 16.0 | 11 | 11.6 | 1 | 1.2 |
| 5 | 100 | 94 | 88 | 86 | 86.0 | 91.5 | 97.7 | 14 | 14.0 | 8 | 8.5 | 2 | 2.3 |
| 6 | 100 | 99 | 91 | 83 | 83.0 | 83.8 | 91.2 | 17 | 17.0 | 16 | 16.2 | 8 | 8.8 |
| 7 | 100 | 98 | 94 | 87 | 87.0 | 88.8 | 92.6 | 13 | 13.0 | 11 | 11.2 | 7 | 7.4 |
| 8 | 100 | 96 | 87 | 87 | 87.0 | 90.6 | 98.9 | 13 | 13.0 | 9 | 9.4 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 9 | 100 | 90 | 65 | 66 | 66.0 | 73.3 | 100.0 | 34 | 34.0 | 24 | 26.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 100 | 95 | 89 | 90 | 90.0 | 94.7 | 98.9 | 10 | 10.0 | 5 | 5.3 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 11 | 100 | 97 | 88 | 87 | 87.0 | 89.7 | 98.9 | 13 | 13.0 | 10 | 10.3 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 12 | 100 | 98 | 89 | 84 | 84.0 | 85.7 | 94.4 | 16 | 16.0 | 14 | 14.3 | 5 | 5.6 |
| 13 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 96 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 101.1 | 4 | 4.0 | 4 | 4.0 | -1 | -1.1 |
| 14 | 100 | 99 | 89 | 90 | 90.0 | 90.9 | 101.1 | 10 | 10.0 | 9 | 9.1 | -1 | -1.1 |
| 15 | 100 | 98 | 81 | 65 | 65.0 | 66.3 | 80.2 | 35 | 35.0 | 33 | 33.7 | 16 | 19.8 |
| 16 | 100 | 95 | 81 | 76 | 76.0 | 80.0 | 92.7 | 24 | 24.0 | 19 | 20.0 | 6 | 7.3 |
| 17 | 100 | 92 | 78 | 71 | 71.0 | 77.2 | 91.0 | 29 | 29.0 | 21 | 22.8 | 7 | 9.0 |
| 18 | 100 | 90 | 67 | 63 | 63.0 | 70.0 | 92.6 | 37 | 37.0 | 27 | 30.0 | 5 | 7.4 |
| 19 | 100 | 97 | 91 | 78 | 78.0 | 80.4 | 85.7 | 22 | 22.0 | 19 | 19.6 | 13 | 14.3 |
| 20 | 100 | 94 | 78 | 73 | 73.0 | 77.7 | 92.4 | 27 | 27.0 | 21 | 22.3 | 6 | 7.6 |
| Total | 2000 | 1922 | 1709 | 1630 |  |  |  | 370 |  | 292 |  | 86 |  |
| Total Response/ Attrition rate |  |  |  |  | 81.5 | 84.8 | 95.0 |  | 18.5 |  | 15.2 |  | 5.0 |

${ }^{*}$ Note: Counts for call 1 have been updated after call 2 fieldwork. Missing respondents in call 1 were contacted for call 2 and those cases that agreed to participate were asked to answer a shortened version of Call 1 and a few weeks later they were called to participate in Call 2 . Additionally, 8 respondents were included for call 4 and 7 respondents were included for call 5 , who did not take part in calls 1,2 or 3 .

Older Cohort


* Note: Counts for call 1 have been updated after call 2 fieldwork. Missing respondents in call 1 were contacted for call 2 and those cases that agreed to participate were asked to answer a shortened version of Call 1 and a few weeks later they were called to participate in Call 2 . Additionally, 27 additional respondents were included for calls 4 and 5 who did not take part in calls 1,2 or 3 , hence some total response rates present percentages over 100 .


## Total

|  | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 | 2019-20 <br> Tracking <br> Sample: <br> Round 6 | Round 6: Call 1 Sample | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { R1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { call } 1 \end{gathered}$ | \# (against R1 sample) | \% (against R1 sample) | \# <br> (against tracking sample) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | \# (against CALL 1) | \% (against CALL 1) |
| Ethiopia ${ }^{1}$ | 2999 | 2634 | 2467 | 1738 | 57.95\% | 65.98\% | 70.45\% | 1261 | 42.05\% | 896 | 34.02\% | 729 | 29.55\% |
| India ${ }^{2}$ | 3019 | 2815 | 2750 | 2719 | 90.06\% | 96.59\% | 98.87\% | 300 | 9.94\% | 96 | 3.41\% | 31 | 1.13\% |
| Peru ${ }^{3}$ | 2766 | 2215 | 2103 | 2244 | 81.13\% | 101.31\% | 106.70\% | 522 | 18.87\% | -29 | -1.31\% | -141 | -6.70\% |
| Vietnam ${ }^{4}$ | 3000 | 2832 | 2560 | 2425 | 80.83\% | 85.63\% | 94.73\% | 575 | 19.17\% | 407 | 14.37\% | 135 | 5.27\% |
| Total ${ }^{5}$ | 11784 | 10496 | 9880 | 9126 | 77.44\% | 86.95\% | 92.37\% | 2658 | 22.56\% | 1370 | 13.05\% | 754 | 7.63\% |

${ }^{1}$ For calls 3,4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.
${ }^{2}$ Both calls 2 and 3, covered 10 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample, and calls 4 and 5 covered 6 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample.
${ }^{3}$ The sample for Peru slightly changed between calls 1 and 3 because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In total, the sample increased by 19 between calls 1 and 3. Additionally, the sample changed between calls 3 and 4 to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In total, the sample increased by 355 between calls 3 and 4 .
${ }^{4}$ Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork
${ }^{5}$ The table includes all countries and both cohorts together.

## Younger Cohort

|  | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 | 2019-20 <br> Tracking <br> Sample: <br> Round 6 | Round 6: Call 1 Sample | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { R1 } \end{gathered}$ | 2019-20 <br> tracking sample | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { call } 1 \end{gathered}$ | \# (against R1 sample) | \% (against R1 sample) | \# (against tracking sample) | tracking sample | \# (against CALL 1) | \% (against CALL 1) |
| Ethiopia ${ }^{1}$ | 1999 | 1803 | 1687 | 1169 | 58.48\% | 64.84\% | 69.29\% | 830 | 41.52\% | 634 | 35.16\% | 518 | 30.71\% |
| India ${ }^{2}$ | 2011 | 1902 | 1863 | 1855 | 92.24\% | 97.53\% | 99.57\% | 156 | 7.76\% | 47 | 2.47\% | 8 | 0.43\% |
| Peru ${ }^{3}$ | 2052 | 1701 | 1616 | 1698 | 82.75\% | 99.82\% | 105.07\% | 354 | 17.25\% | 3 | 0.18\% | -82 | -5.07\% |
| Vietnam ${ }^{4}$ | 2000 | 1922 | 1716 | 1630 | 81.50\% | 84.81\% | 94.99\% | 370 | 18.50\% | 292 | 15.19\% | 86 | 5.01\% |
| Total ${ }^{5}$ | 8062 | 7328 | 6882 | 6352 | 78.79\% | 86.68\% | 92.30\% | 1710 | 21.21\% | 976 | 13.32\% | 530 | 7.70\% |

[^2]
## Older Cohort

|  | 2002 <br> Original <br> Sample: <br> Round 1 | 2019-20 <br> Tracking <br> Sample: <br> Round 6 | Round 6: Call 1 Sample | Total no.of interviews completed CALL 5 |  |  |  | Unable to complete interview CALL 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \# | \# | \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { R1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { call } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { (against } \\ \text { R1 } \\ \text { sample) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { (against } \\ \text { R1 } \\ \text { sample) } \end{gathered}$ | \# (against tracking sample) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { 2019-20 } \\ \text { tracking } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% (against CALL 1) |
| Ethiopia ${ }^{1}$ | 1000 | 831 | 780 | 569 | 56.90\% | 68.47\% | 72.95\% | 431 | 43.10\% | 262 | 31.53\% | 211 | 27.05\% |
| India ${ }^{2}$ | 1008 | 913 | 887 | 864 | 85.71\% | 94.63\% | 97.41\% | 144 | 14.29\% | 49 | 5.37\% | 23 | 2.59\% |
| Peru ${ }^{3}$ | 714 | 514 | 487 | 546 | 76.47\% | 106.23\% | 112.11\% | 168 | 23.53\% | -32 | -6.23\% | -59 | -12.11\% |
| Vietnam ${ }^{4}$ | 1000 | 910 | 844 | 795 | 79.50\% | 87.36\% | 94.19\% | 205 | 20.50\% | 115 | 12.64\% | 49 | 5.81\% |
| Total ${ }^{5}$ | 3722 | 3168 | 2998 | 2774 | 74.53\% | 87.56\% | 92.53\% | 948 | 25.47\% | 394 | 12.44\% | 224 | 7.47\% |

[^3]
[^0]:    *Note: For calls 3,4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.

[^1]:    * Note: Calls 2, 3, covered 7 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample, and calls 4 and 5 covered 6 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample. These respondents were only asked the call $2,3,4$ and 5 questionnaires, hence total response rates present percentages over 100 when comparing against call 1 sample. Additionally, call 5 covered 1 additional Younger Cohort respondent who was not included in calls 1, 2,3 or 4.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ For calls 3,4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.
    ${ }^{2}$ Both calls 2 and 3 , covered 7 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample, and calls 4 and 5 covered 6 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample.
    ${ }^{3}$ The sample for Peru slightly changed between calls 1 and 3 because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In total, the sample increased by 8 between calls 1 and 3 . Additionally, the sample changed between calls 3 and 4 to include those who had decided not to participate in calls $1-3$, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In total, the sample increased by 219 between calls 3 and 4 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For calls 3, 4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.
    ${ }^{2}$ Both calls 2 and 3 , covered 3 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample.
    ${ }^{3}$ The sample for Peru slightly changed between calls 1 and 3 because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In total, the sample increased by 11 between calls 1 and 3 . Additionally, the sample changed between calls 3 and 4 to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In total, the sample increased by 136 between calls 3 and 4 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

