### **Listening to Young Lives at Work:** COVID-19 Phone Survey



March 2023

# Listening to Young Lives at Work in Ethiopia

#### Younger Cohort

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Tota		views compl _L 5	eted		Una	ble to comp CALI	lete intervie L 5	ew.	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% R1 interviews	# (against tracking sample)	% tracking sample	# (against CALL 1 sample)	% CALL 1 sample
1	100	83	78	78	78.0	94.0	100.0	22	22.0	5	6.0	0	0.0
2	100	90	87	81	81.0	90.0	93.1	19	19.0	9	10.0	6	6.9
3	100	84	80	72	72.0	85.7	90.0	28	28.0	12	14.3	8	10.0
4	100	90	82	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	100.0	90	100.0	82	100.0
5	100	89	77	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	100.0	89	100.0	77	100.0
6	100	89	84	83	83.0	93.3	98.8	17	17.0	6	6.7	1	1.2
7	100	95	88	86	86.0	90.5	97.7	14	14.0	9	9.5	2	2.3
8	100	95	92	91	91.0	95.8	98.9	9	9.0	4	4.2	1	1.1
9	100	91	87	87	87.0	95.6	100.0	13	13.0	4	4.4	0	0.0
10	100	90	81	80	80.0	88.9	98.8	20	20.0	10	11.1	1	1.2
11	99	91	90	87	87.9	95.6	96.7	12	12.1	4	4.4	3	3.3
12	100	88	73	71	71.0	80.7	97.3	29	29.0	17	19.3	2	2.7
13	100	88	86	86	86.0	97.7	100.0	14	14.0	2	2.3	0	0.0
14	100	91	89	87	87.0	95.6	97.8	13	13.0	4	4.4	2	2.2
15	100	92	90	89	89.0	96.7	98.9	11	11.0	3	3.3	1	1.1
16	100	95	91	91	91.0	95.8	100.0	9	9.0	4	4.2	0	0.0
17	100	91	80	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	100.0	91	100.0	80	100.0
18	100	89	87	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	100.0	89	100.0	87	100.0
19	100	87	71	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	100.0	87	100.0	71	100.0
20	100	95	94	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	100.0	95	100.0	94	100.0
Total	1999	1803	1687	1169				830		634		518	
Total Response/ Attrition rate					58.5	64.8	69.3		41.5		35.2		30.7

\*Note: For calls 3, 4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Tota		views compl LL 5	eted		Una	ble to comp CALI		W	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% R1 interviews	# (against tracking sample)	% tracking sample	# (against CALL 1 sample)	% CALL 1 sample
1	50	34	33	31	62.0	91.2	93.9	19	38.0	3	8.8	2	6.1
2	50	37	34	33	66.0	89.2	97.1	17	34.0	4	10.8	1	2.9
3	50	30	28	27	54.0	90.0	96.4	23	46.0	3	10.0	1	3.6
4	50	46	46	20	40.0	43.5	43.5	30	60.0	26	56.5	26	56.5
5	50	42	42	12	24.0	28.6	28.6	38	76.0	30	71.4	30	71.4
6	50	45	44	44	88.0	97.8	100.0	6	12.0	1	2.2	0	0.0
7	50	46	45	45	90.0	97.8	100.0	5	10.0	1	2.2	0	0.0
8	50	47	47	46	92.0	97.9	97.9	4	8.0	1	2.1	1	2.1
9	50	49	48	46	92.0	93.9	95.8	4	8.0	3	6.1	2	4.2
10	50	34	34	32	64.0	94.1	94.1	18	36.0	2	5.9	2	5.9
11	49	41	40	34	69.4	82.9	85.0	15	30.6	7	17.1	6	15.0
12	50	34	29	27	54.0	79.4	93.1	23	46.0	7	20.6	2	6.9
13	50	47	43	43	86.0	91.5	100.0	7	14.0	4	8.5	0	0.0
14	50	46	46	46	92.0	100.0	100.0	4	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
15	50	50	49	49	98.0	98.0	100.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	0	0.0
16	50	34	34	34	68.0	100.0	100.0	16	32.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
17	50	48	35	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50	100.0	48	100.0	35	100.0
18	50	44	43	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50	100.0	44	100.0	43	100.0
19	51	45	28	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	51	100.0	45	100.0	28	100.0
20	50	32	32	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50	100.0	32	100.0	32	100.0
Total	1000	831	780	569				431		262		211	
Total Response/ Attrition rate					56.9	68.5	72.9		43.1		31.5		27.1

\* Note: For calls 3, 4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.

## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana

#### Younger Cohort

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Tota		views compl LL 5	eted		Una	ble to comp CALI	lete intervie L 5	W	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% R1 interviews	# (against tracking sample)	% tracking sample	# (against CALL 1 sample)	% CALL 1 sample
1	100	96	95	95	95.0	99.0	100.0	5	5.0	1	1.0	0	0.0
2	100	97	95	95	95.0	97.9	100.0	5	5.0	2	2.1	0	0.0
3	100	87	82	83	83.0	95.4	101.2	17	17.0	4	4.6	-1	-1.2
4	100	96	94	95	95.0	99.0	101.1	5	5.0	1	1.0	-1	-1.1
5	100	98	95	95	95.0	96.9	100.0	5	5.0	3	3.1	0	0.0
6	100	96	96	96	96.0	100.0	100.0	4	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
7	100	97	95	94	94.0	96.9	98.9	6	6.0	3	3.1	1	1.1
8	100	97	96	96	96.0	99.0	100.0	4	4.0	1	1.0	0	0.0
9	100	96	96	95	95.0	99.0	99.0	5	5.0	1	1.0	1	1.0
10	100	81	79	78	78.0	96.3	98.7	22	22.0	3	3.7	1	1.3
11	103	97	96	95	92.2	97.9	99.0	8	7.8	2	2.1	1	1.0
12	102	102	100	100	98.0	98.0	100.0	2	2.0	2	2.0	0	0.0
13	101	97	97	95	94.1	97.9	97.9	6	5.9	2	2.1	2	2.1
14	100	95	90	91	91.0	95.8	101.1	9	9.0	4	4.2	-1	-1.1
15	100	96	96	95	95.0	99.0	99.0	5	5.0	1	1.0	1	1.0
16	100	97	94	92	92.0	94.8	97.9	8	8.0	5	5.2	2	2.1
17	100	97	96	97	97.0	100.0	101.0	3	3.0	0	0.0	-1	-1.0
18	100	96	95	94	94.0	97.9	98.9	6	6.0	2	2.1	1	1.1
19	100	96	96	96	96.0	100.0	100.0	4	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
20	105	88	80	78	74.3	88.6	97.5	27	25.7	10	11.4	2	2.5
Total	2011	1902	1863	1855				156		47		8	
Total Response/ Attrition rate					92.2	97.5	99.6		7.8		2.5		0.4

\* Note: Calls 2, 3, covered 7 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample, and calls 4 and 5 covered 6 additional Younger Cohort respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample. These respondents were only asked the call 2, 3, 4 and 5 questionnaires, hence total response rates present percentages over 100 when comparing against call 1 sample. Additionally, call 5 covered 1 additional Younger Cohort respondent who was not included in calls 1, 2, 3 or 4.

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Tota		views compl _L 5	leted		Una	ble to comp CALI	lete intervie ∟5	W	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% R1 interviews	# (against tracking sample)	% tracking sample	# (against CALL 1 sample)	% CALL 1 sample
1	50	45	44	43	86.0	95.6	97.7	7	14.0	2	4.4	1	2.3
2	50	49	49	49	98.0	100.0	100.0	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
3	50	37	33	33	66.0	89.2	100.0	17	34.0	4	10.8	0	0.0
4	50	46	46	45	90.0	97.8	97.8	5	10.0	1	2.2	1	2.2
5	50	48	45	42	84.0	87.5	93.3	8	16.0	6	12.5	3	6.7
6	50	50	50	46	92.0	92.0	92.0	4	8.0	4	8.0	4	8.0
7	50	42	42	40	80.0	95.2	95.2	10	20.0	2	4.8	2	4.8
8	50	42	42	42	84.0	100.0	100.0	8	16.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
9	50	35	35	35	70.0	100.0	100.0	15	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
10	50	39	37	38	76.0	97.4	102.7	12	24.0	1	2.6	-1	-2.7
11	56	53	52	50	89.3	94.3	96.2	6	10.7	3	5.7	2	3.8
12	51	49	48	48	94.1	98.0	100.0	3	5.9	1	2.0	0	0.0
13	50	48	48	47	94.0	97.9	97.9	3	6.0	1	2.1	1	2.1
14	50	46	41	40	80.0	87.0	97.6	10	20.0	6	13.0	1	2.4
15	50	48	46	46	92.0	95.8	100.0	4	8.0	2	4.2	0	0.0
16	50	50	50	47	94.0	94.0	94.0	3	6.0	3	6.0	3	6.0
17	50	47	47	46	92.0	97.9	97.9	4	8.0	1	2.1	1	2.1
18	50	47	47	45	90.0	95.7	95.7	5	10.0	2	4.3	2	4.3
19	50	49	49	49	98.0	100.0	100.0	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
20	51	43	36	33	64.7	76.7	91.7	18	35.3	10	23.3	3	8.3
Total	1008	913	887	864				144		49		23	
Total Response/ Attrition rate					85.7	94.6	97.4		14.3		5.4		2.6

\* Note: Calls 2, 3, 4 and 5 covered 3 additional Older Cohort respondents who were not included in call 1 sample. These respondents were only asked the call 2, 3, 4 and 5 questionnaires, hence total response rates present percentages over 100 when comparing against call 1 sample.

### Listening to Young Lives at Work in Peru

#### Younger Cohort

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Total Sample 2 (Jul 21, 2020)	Total Sample 3 (Oct 18, 2020)	Total Sample 4 (Dec 20, 2020)	Total Sample 5 (Jul 20, 2021)	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Total n	o.of inte CA	rviews con LL 5	npleted		Unable	to comple CALL \$		N	
	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	% R1	% Total sample 5	% c all 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% (against R1 interviews)	# (against tracking sample)	% (against tracking sample)	# (against CALL 1)	% (against CALL 1)
1	100	88	88	91	92	99	91	91	91.0	91.9	100.0	9	9.0	-3	-3.4	0	0.0
2	100	95	95	95	95	97	92	87	87.0	89.7	94.6	13	13.0	8	8.4	5	5.4
3	101	83	83	83	83	99	78	79	78.2	79.8	101.3	22	21.8	4	4.8	-1	-1.3
4	101	87	87	87	87	99	82	91	90.1	91.9	111.0	10	9.9	-4	-4.6	-9	-11.0
5	101	74	74	75	75	94	73	73	72.3	77.7	100.0	28	27.7	1	1.4	0	0.0
6	102	83	83	83	83	98	78	89	87.3	90.8	114.1	13	12.7	-6	-7.2	-11	-14.1
7	107	94	94	94	94	102	92	94	87.9	92.2	102.2	13	12.1	0	0.0	-2	-2.2
8	102	79	79	79	79	90	72	78	76.5	86.7	108.3	24	23.5	1	1.3	-6	-8.3
9	103	89	89	89	89	95	84	78	75.7	82.1	92.9	25	24.3	11	12.4	6	7.1
10	105	93	93	93	93	103	90	92	87.6	89.3	102.2	13	12.4	1	1.1	-2	-2.2
11	101	54	54	54	54	85	47	60	59.4	70.6	127.7	41	40.6	-6	-11.1	-13	-27.7
12	100	87	87	87	87	97	84	88	88.0	90.7	104.8	12	12.0	-1	-1.1	-4	-4.8
13	102	83	83	83	83	89	74	85	83.3	95.5	114.9	17	16.7	-2	-2.4	-11	-14.9
14	103	85	85	85	86	95	83	91	88.3	95.8	109.6	12	11.7	-6	-7.1	-8	-9.6
15	105	89	89	89	89	96	82	80	76.2	83.3	97.6	25	23.8	9	10.1	2	2.4
16	108	102	102	102	102	106	98	100	92.6	94.3	102.0	8	7.4	2	2.0	-2	-2.0
17	102	81	81	81	81	98	74	84	82.4	85.7	113.5	18	17.6	-3	-3.7	-10	-13.5
18	105	93	93	95	95	101	90	90	85.7	89.1	100.0	15	14.3	3	3.2	0	0.0
19	102	87	87	87	87	96	82	86	84.3	89.6	104.9	16	15.7	1	1.1	-4	-4.9
20	102	75	75	75	75	89	70	82	80.4	92.1	117.1	20	19.6	-7	-9.3	-12	-17.1
Total	2052	1701	1701	1707	1709	1928	1616	1698				354		3		-82	
Total Response/ Attrition rate									82.7	88.1	105.1		17.3		0.2		-5.1

Note: The initial sample for Peru changed slightly between the first and third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the younger cohort, the final sample increased by 8 between the first and third call. For calls 4 and 5, the sample changed to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In the case of the younger cohort, the sample increased by 219 between the third and fourth call.

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Total Sample 2 (Jul 21, 2020)	Total Sample 3 (Oct 18, 2020)	Total Sample 4 (Dec 20, 2020)	Total Sample 5 (Jul 20, 2021)	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Total n		rviews con LL 5	npleted		Unable	e to comple CALL \$	te interviev 5	v	
	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	% R1	% Total sample 5	% c all 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% (against R1 interviews)	# (against tracking sample)	% (against tracking sample)	# (against CALL 1)	% (against CALL 1)
1	50	38	38	38	38	49	35	46	92.0	93.9	131.4	4	8.0	-8	-0.2	-11	-31.4
2	25	21	21	21	21	23	20	21	84.0	91.3	105.0	4	16.0	0	0.0	-1	-5.0
3	25	14	14	15	15	21	13	11	44.0	52.4	84.6	14	56.0	3	0.2	2	15.4
4	26	19	20	20	20	25	20	20	76.9	80.0	100.0	6	23.1	-1	-0.1	0	0.0
5	26	17	18	18	18	23	18	21	80.8	91.3	116.7	5	19.2	-4	-0.2	-3	-16.7
6	25	17	17	17	17	24	17	19	76.0	79.2	111.8	6	24.0	-2	-0.1	-2	-11.8
7	52	43	44	44	44	50	42	43	82.7	86.0	102.4	9	17.3	0	0.0	-1	-2.4
8	32	23	24	24	24	29	20	24	75.0	82.8	120.0	8	25.0	-1	0.0	-4	-20.0
9	29	14	14	14	14	27	14	19	65.5	70.4	135.7	10	34.5	-5	-0.4	-5	-35.7
10	29	20	20	21	21	24	20	22	75.9	91.7	110.0	7	24.1	-2	-0.1	-2	-10.0
11	30	17	17	17	17	27	16	19	63.3	70.4	118.8	11	36.7	-2	-0.1	-3	-18.8
12	50	38	38	38	38	47	37	41	82.0	87.2	110.8	9	18.0	-3	-0.1	-4	-10.8
13	52	43	43	43	43	46	40	38	73.1	82.6	95.0	14	26.9	5	0.1	2	5.0
14	51	35	35	38	38	48	35	44	86.3	91.7	125.7	7	13.7	-9	-0.3	-9	-25.7
15	53	40	40	40	40	51	32	35	66.0	68.6	109.4	18	34.0	5	0.1	-3	-9.4
16	25	16	16	16	16	22	15	17	68.0	77.3	113.3	8	32.0	-1	-0.1	-2	-13.3
17	30	19	19	20	20	28	17	21	70.0	75.0	123.5	9	30.0	-2	-0.1	-4	-23.5
18	27	20	20	20	21	27	20	23	85.2	85.2	115.0	4	14.8	-3	-0.2	-3	-15.0
19	27	23	23	23	23	27	22	23	85.2	85.2	104.5	4	14.8	0	0.0	-1	-4.5
20	50	37	37	37	37	43	34	39	78.0	90.7	114.7	11	22.0	-2	-0.1	-5	-14.7
Total	714	514	518	524	525	661	487	546				168		-32		-59	
Total Response/ Attrition rate									76.5	82.6	112.1		23.5		-6.2		-12.1

Note: The initial sample for Peru changed slightly between the first and third call, because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In the case of the older cohort, the sample increased by 11 between the first and third call. For calls 4 and 5, the sample changed to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In the case of the older cohort, the sample increased by 136 between the third and fourth call.

## Listening to Young Lives at Work in Vietnam

#### Younger Cohort

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Tota		views compl LL 5	eted		Una	ble to comp CALI	lete intervie L 5	w	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% R1 interviews	# (against tracking sample)	% tracking sample	# (against CALL 1 sample)	% CALL 1 sample
1	100	100	93	91	91.0	91.0	97.8	9	9.0	9	9.0	2	2.2
2	100	97	88	85	85.0	87.6	96.6	15	15.0	12	12.4	3	3.4
3	100	98	92	88	88.0	89.8	95.7	12	12.0	10	10.2	4	4.3
4	100	95	85	84	84.0	88.4	98.8	16	16.0	11	11.6	1	1.2
5	100	94	88	86	86.0	91.5	97.7	14	14.0	8	8.5	2	2.3
6	100	99	91	83	83.0	83.8	91.2	17	17.0	16	16.2	8	8.8
7	100	98	94	87	87.0	88.8	92.6	13	13.0	11	11.2	7	7.4
8	100	96	87	87	87.0	90.6	98.9	13	13.0	9	9.4	1	1.1
9	100	90	65	66	66.0	73.3	100.0	34	34.0	24	26.7	0	0.0
10	100	95	89	90	90.0	94.7	98.9	10	10.0	5	5.3	1	1.1
11	100	97	88	87	87.0	89.7	98.9	13	13.0	10	10.3	1	1.1
12	100	98	89	84	84.0	85.7	94.4	16	16.0	14	14.3	5	5.6
13	100	100	95	96	96.0	96.0	101.1	4	4.0	4	4.0	-1	-1.1
14	100	99	89	90	90.0	90.9	101.1	10	10.0	9	9.1	-1	-1.1
15	100	98	81	65	65.0	66.3	80.2	35	35.0	33	33.7	16	19.8
16	100	95	81	76	76.0	80.0	92.7	24	24.0	19	20.0	6	7.3
17	100	92	78	71	71.0	77.2	91.0	29	29.0	21	22.8	7	9.0
18	100	90	67	63	63.0	70.0	92.6	37	37.0	27	30.0	5	7.4
19	100	97	91	78	78.0	80.4	85.7	22	22.0	19	19.6	13	14.3
20	100	94	78	73	73.0	77.7	92.4	27	27.0	21	22.3	6	7.6
Total	2000	1922	1709	1630				370		292		86	
Total Response/ Attrition rate					81.5	84.8	95.0		18.5		15.2		5.0

\* Note: Counts for call 1 have been updated after call 2 fieldwork. Missing respondents in call 1 were contacted for call 2 and those cases that agreed to participate were asked to answer a shortened version of Call 1 and a few weeks later they were called to participate in Call 2. Additionally, 8 respondents were included for call 4 and 7 respondents were included for call 5, who did not take part in calls 1,2 or 3.

Site	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Tota		views compl LL 5	eted		Una	ble to comp CALI	lete intervie _ 5	•	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 interviews)	% R1 interviews	# (against tracking sample)	% tracking sample	# (against CALL 1 sample)	% CALL 1 sample
1	50	46	41	41	82.0	89.1	100.0	9	18.0	5	10.9	0	0.0
2	50	42	40	37	74.0	88.1	92.5	13	26.0	5	11.9	3	7.5
3	50	45	46	44	88.0	97.8	95.7	6	12.0	1	2.2	2	4.3
4	50	49	45	45	90.0	91.8	100.0	5	10.0	4	8.2	0	0.0
5	50	44	44	41	82.0	93.2	93.2	9	18.0	3	6.8	4	8.9
6	50	49	42	40	80.0	81.6	95.2	10	20.0	9	18.4	3	7.0
7	50	46	44	42	84.0	91.3	95.5	8	16.0	4	8.7	2	4.5
8	50	49	45	37	74.0	75.5	82.2	13	26.0	12	24.5	9	19.6
9	50	45	34	32	64.0	71.1	94.1	18	36.0	13	28.9	2	5.9
10	50	38	35	32	64.0	84.2	91.4	18	36.0	6	15.8	3	8.6
11	50	47	43	43	86.0	91.5	100.0	7	14.0	4	8.5	0	0.0
12	50	50	45	45	90.0	90.0	100.0	5	10.0	5	10.0	1	2.2
13	50	47	48	47	94.0	100.0	97.9	3	6.0	0	0.0	1	2.1
14	50	49	48	46	92.0	93.9	95.8	4	8.0	3	6.1	2	4.2
15	50	46	39	37	74.0	80.4	94.9	13	26.0	9	19.6	2	5.1
16	50	46	43	42	84.0	91.3	97.7	8	16.0	4	8.7	1	2.3
17	50	48	45	37	74.0	77.1	82.2	13	26.0	11	22.9	8	17.8
18	50	39	34	32	64.0	82.1	94.1	18	36.0	7	17.9	2	5.9
19	50	47	45	44	88.0	93.6	97.8	6	12.0	3	6.4	1	2.2
20	50	38	34	31	62.0	81.6	91.2	19	38.0	7	18.4	3	8.8
Total	1000	910	840	795				205		115		49	
Total Response/ Attrition rate					79.5	87.4	94.6		20.5		12.6		5.8

\* Note: Counts for call 1 have been updated after call 2 fieldwork. Missing respondents in call 1 were contacted for call 2 and those cases that agreed to participate were asked to answer a shortened version of Call 1 and a few weeks later they were called to participate in Call 2. Additionally, 27 additional respondents were included for calls 4 and 5 who did not take part in calls 1, 2 or 3, hence some total response rates present percentages over 100.

### Total

	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Total		views comj _L 5	pleted		Una		plete inter _L 5	view	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 sample)	% (against R1 sample)	# (against tracking sample)	% 2019-20 tracking sample	# (against CALL 1)	% (against CALL 1)
Ethiopia <sup>1</sup>	2999	2634	2467	1738	57.95%	65.98%	70.45%	1261	42.05%	896	34.02%	729	29.55%
India <sup>2</sup>	3019	2815	2750	2719	90.06%	96.59%	98.87%	300	9.94%	96	3.41%	31	1.13%
Peru <sup>3</sup>	2766	2215	2103	2244	81.13%	101.31%	106.70%	522	18.87%	-29	-1.31%	-141	-6.70%
Vietnam <sup>4</sup>	3000	2832	2560	2425	80.83%	85.63%	94.73%	575	19.17%	407	14.37%	135	5.27%
Total⁵	11784	10496	9880	9126	77.44%	86.95%	92.37%	2658	22.56%	1370	13.05%	754	7.63%

<sup>1</sup> For calls 3, 4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.

<sup>2</sup> Both calls 2 and 3, covered 10 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample, and calls 4 and 5 covered 6 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample.

<sup>3</sup> The sample for Peru slightly changed between calls 1 and 3 because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In total, the sample increased by 19 between calls 1 and 3. Additionally, the sample changed between calls 3 and 4 to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In total, the sample increased by 355 between calls 3 and 4.

<sup>4</sup> Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

<sup>5</sup> The table includes all countries and both cohorts together.

#### **Younger Cohort**

	Sample:	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Total		views com LL 5	pleted		Una		plete inter LL 5	view	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 sample)	% (against R1 sample)	# (against tracking sample)	% 2019-20 tracking sample	# (against CALL 1)	% (against CALL 1)
Ethiopia <sup>1</sup>	1999	1803	1687	1169	58.48%	64.84%	69.29%	830	41.52%	634	35.16%	518	30.71%
India <sup>2</sup>	2011	1902	1863	1855	92.24%	97.53%	99.57%	156	7.76%	47	2.47%	8	0.43%
Peru <sup>3</sup>	2052	1701	1616	1698				354	17.25%	3	0.18%	-82	-5.07%
Vietnam <sup>4</sup>	2000	1922	1716	1630					18.50%	292	15.19%	86	5.01%
Total⁵	8062	7328	6882	6352	78.79%	86.68%	92.30%	1710	21.21%	976	13.32%	530	7.70%

<sup>1</sup> For calls 3, 4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.

<sup>2</sup> Both calls 2 and 3, covered 7 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample, and calls 4 and 5 covered 6 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample.

<sup>3</sup> The sample for Peru slightly changed between calls 1 and 3 because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In total, the sample increased by 8 between calls 1 and 3. Additionally, the sample changed between calls 3 and 4 to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In total, the sample increased by 219 between calls 3 and 4.

<sup>4</sup> Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

	2002 Original Sample: Round 1	2019-20 Tracking Sample: Round 6	Round 6: Call 1 Sample	Total		views com LL 5	pleted		Una	ble to com CAI	plete inter _L 5	view	
	#	#	#	#	% R1	% 2019-20 tracking sample	% call 1	# (against R1 sample)	R1	tracking		# (against CALL 1)	( 0
Ethiopia <sup>1</sup>	1000	831	780	569	56.90%	68.47%	72.95%	431	43.10%	262	31.53%	211	27.05%
India <sup>2</sup>	1008	913	887	864	85.71%	94.63%	97.41%	144	14.29%	49	5.37%	23	2.59%
Peru <sup>3</sup>	714	514	487	546	76.47%	106.23%	112.11%	168	23.53%	-32	-6.23%	-59	-12.11%
Vietnam <sup>4</sup>	1000	910	844	795	79.50%	87.36%	94.19%	205	20.50%	115	12.64%	49	5.81%
Total⁵	3722	3168	2998	2774	74.53%	87.56%	92.53%	948	25.47%	394	12.44%	224	7.47%

<sup>1</sup> For calls 3, 4 and 5 data for participants from the Tigray region were not able to to be collected due to conflict in the region. Additonally, in calls 4 and 5 data from participants in parts of the Amhara region were not able to be collected due to conflict in the region.

<sup>2</sup> Both calls 2 and 3, covered 3 additional respondents who were not included in the call 1 sample.

<sup>3</sup> The sample for Peru slightly changed between calls 1 and 3 because some young people who were not considered in the initial sample contacted us to participate in the Survey. In total, the sample increased by 11 between calls 1 and 3. Additionally, the sample changed between calls 3 and 4 to include those who had decided not to participate in calls 1-3, those who had not been located, and those without a known phone number. In total, the sample increased by 136 between calls 3 and 4.

<sup>4</sup> Number of interviews completed in Call 1 was updated after Call 2 fieldwork

