

Section 13: Computer-assisted Personal Interviewing

May 2017

For the first two rounds of the Young Lives survey, data were collected using paper-based questionnaires. Once completed, the questionnaires were manually checked, entered and then re-entered into databases, cross-checked to highlight inconsistencies, cleaned and then transferred into data analysis software. Since the study started in 2002, various computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) options have become available for replacing much of this process with electronic data collection, which allows fieldworkers to go to research sites with questionnaires loaded onto a tablet, laptop, notebook or personal digital assistant (PDA) onto which they can directly enter responses in a format that can be immediately uploaded into data files. This greatly increases the speed with which survey data can be accessed, and means that some data inconsistencies can be addressed while fieldworkers are still with respondents in the research site.

In 2008, after Round 2 was completed, the many potential advantages of CAPI led Young Lives to explore the possibilities of using it in subsequent rounds. A fundamental decision made at this stage was that the complicated nature of the survey and lack of off-the-shelf software on the market at the time required bespoke software which would allow Young Lives staff to develop their own programmes for different questionnaire components. Young Lives UK team hired a consultant to review the available software and hardware, and their findings were presented to the country study teams. It was decided to pilot PDAs and bespoke software in all four countries alongside the pilot of the Round 3 paper questionnaire. The pilot indicated that, overall, the technology was viable and the research teams willing to use it.

After this pilot stage, the Peruvian and Vietnamese study teams were keen to use electronic data collection for a proportion of the full Round 3 survey. PDAs were used to collect over 70% of the Round 3 data in Vietnam and 50% in Peru, and were also used for some questionnaires of the school component in Ethiopia. After the success in Round 3 and further developments with off-the-shelf software, Young Lives was able to roll CAPI out to all four countries in Round 4. Young Lives embarked on a process of selecting the software and hardware needed to implement this. In Round 4 the data management team was able to develop the programmes internally. CAPI was then used across all four countries in Rounds 4 and 5

Alongside its benefits, CAPI also presents challenges. CAPI technologies were developed for interviewing respondents at shopping malls and trade shows in developed countries, and their transfer to often remote study sites with poor infrastructure demands careful consideration. In addition, the size and complexity of the Young Lives survey, with

both country-specific components and multiple versions in different languages, presents particular challenges for software and programming.

Key considerations for implementing CAPI

Several different types of hardware – from hand-held devices such as mobile phones and PDAs to laptops and tablets – can be used for electronic data collection. Diverse factors had to be taken into account when considering the best hardware to carry out the survey:

- **Cost.** Balancing the requirements to run the software against our budget.
- **Size.** One researcher reflected that considering “the reality of sitting on a stone in the middle of a farmyard, balancing everything on your knee” was centrally important to evaluating the advantages and disadvantages of different kinds of hardware.
- **Battery use and ease of battery charging** in areas lacking reliable mains electricity.
- **Impact on interview dynamics.** Some fieldworkers voiced concerns about respondent’s perceptions of different types of hardware in remote areas where technology can be viewed with extreme suspicion and fear by local people. Others suggested that laptop screens would form a barrier between fieldworkers and respondents that might influence responses.
- **Security** and threat of theft.
- **Software compatibility.** Some of the software can only be used with a single type of hardware.

Having decided that the Young Lives survey would need programmable software, several other considerations needed to be taken into account when selecting software for electronic data collection:

- **Internet connectivity.** Some electronic data collection software is designed for use with the internet, and cannot function without a reliable, fast connection, which is not available in all study sites. Internet-based software does offer several advantages as well, including joint online working on programmes across countries and the opportunity to administer the questionnaire online to children who have moved and have email addresses. Internet connectivity also facilitates the development of a version control system that tracks changes in files across the whole survey.

- **Built-in checks.** Different types of software have various levels of built-in checks which alert fieldworkers when there are possible inconsistencies in survey responses. Country teams had diverse views about the level of built-in checks they would find useful in the field.
- **Opportunities for notes and comments.** Some researchers emphasised the utility of being able to make written comments, particularly to qualify data which does not fall easily into existing codes or standard consistency checks.
- **Language.** A central feature of Young Lives is that it applies questionnaires in local languages. Different software present a range of approaches towards translation and surveys in different languages, ranging from translation functions to building separate versions of the programme for each country.
- **Version control.** As each survey round is designed, piloted and revised, multiple versions of the questionnaire are in circulation. Most software includes a version control system to provide a central coordinating function, and some will automatically update a change made in one country programme in other country programmes.

Planning electronic data collection for the Round 4 and 5 surveys involved balancing these diverse considerations. Options for programmable software had increased since the pilot stage in Round 3, and three companies were invited to present their software to the Young Lives data management team. Each had both benefits and drawbacks, so making a final choice was a question of evaluating trade-offs. Surveybe, the software selected, offered the simplest user interface and the most straightforward programming language, as well as dedicated technical support from a company experienced in using the software in developing countries.

Disadvantages included no facility for internet-based version control, and the need to build five separate versions of the survey – a master programme with core questions, and four programmes for country-specific components in Amharic, Telugu, Spanish and Vietnamese. These drawbacks meant that special attention was paid to developing and implementing management protocols about programming changes and consistency between versions.

For Round 4 we switched from PDAs to tablets and laptops in all countries. While data was collected electronically in most sites, security considerations meant that data could still be recorded on paper in a small minority of locations.

Challenges in implementing electronic data collection

Implementing CAPI for Round 4 began with training in the UK for all programmers, assistants and data managers. As questionnaire sections were finalised, they were built into programmes, and the programming and questionnaire were piloted together. Challenges in rolling out CAPI across the whole study, many of which intersect with broader issues of team management and training, included:

- **Participation in programming.** Having selected software that allows Young Lives data management staff to build programmes for data collection, there are trade-offs involved between strengthening ownership and capacity by involving multiple staff in programming, and minimising errors and confusion by involving fewer staff.
- **Balancing checking and validation.** Some teams want more automatic checks built into the data collection software which quickly alert fieldworkers to anomalies in the responses they are recording. The challenge is to find a balance between challenging the answers of respondents when they fall outside expected norms and addressing the tendency for some interviewers to want to provide clean-looking data that meets expectations.
- **Potential bias.** The possibility that using electronic data would have an influence on the quality of data in comparison to paper-based questionnaires. The Peru team tested a sample of the data collected during Round 3 for such bias, and found that electronically collected data had a high level of agreement with data collected using paper-based questionnaires (Escobal and Benites, 2013).
- **Meeting country needs.** Ensuring that programmes are built which meet the needs of each country team, especially as regards local languages, has to be balanced with centralised coordination of the whole survey across all four countries and rounds.
- **Changing existing practices.** During the first two rounds, when the questionnaires were still on paper, teams had become accustomed to being able to make changes and revisions until just before the start of fieldwork. This was much harder to achieve with CAPI, and it was necessary to front load the timeline to take this into account.

REFERENCES

Escobal J. and S. Benites (2013) 'PDAs in Socio-economic Surveys: Instrument Bias, Surveyor Bias, Or Both?' *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 16.1: 47-63.

Solon, A. (2016) '[Paper was invented in 206 BC.... It's time for a change](#)', Data Impact Blog, UK Data Service.